UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,298	05/17/2005	Mark Jozef Willem Mertens	NL 021458	5801
24737 7590 07/17/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			LEE, PING	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/535,298	MERTENS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ping Lee	2615				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LOI - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror - If NO period for reply is spi - Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the 0	NGER, FROM THE MAILING DA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. ecified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute,	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	ine 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is F							
3) Since this appl	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in acco	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> i	is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s)	_ are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification	on is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C	:. § 119						
12) Acknowledgme		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
,	,	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
• •	ion from the International Bureau d detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ad ·				
dee the attache	d detailed Office action for a list	or the defined copies not receive	· u .				
Attachment(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Ci	ited (PTO-892) s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
· ==	Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orbanes et al (hereafter Orbanes) (US 6,785,667).

Regarding claims 1 and 8-10, Orbanes discloses a data representation apparatus for representing data by means of an audio signal. In one embodiment, Orbanes teaches that the system would respond to voice commands (reads on the claimed positionless data, with different commands correspond to the first value and the second value). See col. 37, lines 60-64. In another embodiment, Orbanes teaches that the audible sound is generated by mapping a first action (for example, zoom in) to a first position in a three-dimensional space, and the second action to a second position (col. 38, lines 6-8) and the audio processing unit changes the characteristic of the audio signal (col. 38, lines 2-15).

However, Orbanes fails to show that the two embodiments could be combined together. Based on Orbanes' teaching about directional control in response to the voice recognition (col. 37, lines 60-64), one skilled in the art would have expected that the same benefit could be applied to the sound effect simulating the virtual environment by controlling the zooming function using the voice commands. Using the voice commands, the user's hand would be free from the keyboard, mouse or other hand-related input device. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 2615

to modify Orbanes by utilizing the voice recognition capability to control the sound effect simulating the virtual environment in order to free the user's hand from the input device.

Regarding claim 3, the claimed measurement device reads on microphone.

Regarding claim 4, the example provided on col. 38 is a street in a city, wherein the street has a predetermined region in a three-dimensional space, the voice commands would be mapped to the space.

Regarding claim 5, depending on how the user controls the direction (such as pan left, pan right, zoom in, zoom right), the positionless data signal would be mapped on a curvilinear locus in three-dimensional space.

Regarding claim 6, the claimed specification means reads on the user input selection, and the claimed preferred mapping reads on the preferred street to be viewed.

Regarding claim 7, the claimed selection means reads on the means allowing the user to select different map area, the first type of the audio signal represents the audio in the first area, and the second type of the audio signal represents the audio in the second area.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orbanes as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Courneau et al (hereafter Courneau) (US 5,987,142).

Regarding claim 2, Orbanes fails to show that a filter applying a HRTF to the input audio signal to obtain the output audio signal appearing to originate from the first position to the second position. Orbanes teaches that the sound would simulate the

Application/Control Number: 10/535,298 Page 4

Art Unit: 2615

virtual environment. However, no specific sound processing algorithm is being disclosed. One skilled in the art would be motivated to search the art related to virtual sound simulation. Adjusting only volume would not produce a realistic virtual environment. Courneau teaches that the HRTF is being used to simulate the virtual sound environment. HRTFs are functions describing the delay, the frequency response and the amplitude response of the sound at the two ear drums of the user. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Orbanes in view of Courneau by using a filter as a function of HRTF to generate audio signal in order to simulate a more realistic sound effect in a virtual environment.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ping Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-7522. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian C. Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

pwl